

From: [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
To: [Mejia. Manuel](#); [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
Cc: [Baatar. Bilegt](#)
Subject: RE: Agenda 1- PLNP2019-00353 – CASA ROSA WAY TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP
Date: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 7:22:05 PM

This will be filed in the record. Public comments received after the vote is taken are not distributed.

Thank you

Flo Evans
Clerk of the Board Office

From: Mejia. Manuel <MejiaM@saccounty.net>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 7:19 PM
To: Clerk of the Board Public Email <BoardClerk@saccounty.net>
Cc: Baatar. Bilegt <BaatarB@saccounty.net>
Subject: FW: Agenda 1- PLNP2019-00353 – CASA ROSA WAY TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP

Just received

From: Adele Espana-Purpur <AEspana-Purpur@caed.uscourts.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 7:17 PM
To: Bloise. Nick <nickb812@yahoo.com>; Mejia. Manuel <MejiaM@saccounty.net>; Holsworth. Meredith <HolsworthM@saccounty.net>; Baatar. Bilegt <BaatarB@saccounty.net>
Subject: RE: Agenda 1- PLNP2019-00353 – CASA ROSA WAY TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

How can you vote for approval of this project without even reviewing the comments of the homeowners? What was the point of us writing in our comments if they were not going to be considered? Wong & Associates did not meet with the neighbors as requested. This matter should be tabled until CPAC members have read the comments from the neighborhood and Wong & Associates fulfills its duty of meeting with us. This cannot be legal.



Adele España-Purpur
Judicial Assistant to
Hon. Morrison C. England, Jr., District Judge
Robert T. Matsui United States Courthouse
Tel. (916) 930-4205
aespana-purpur@caed.uscourts.gov

From: Adele Espana-Purpur
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 4:15 PM
To: 'nickb812@yahoo.com' <nickb812@yahoo.com>; 'Mejiam@saccounty.net' <Mejiam@saccounty.net>; 'holsworthm@saccounty.net' <holsworthm@saccounty.net>;

'baatarb@saccounty.net' <baatarb@saccounty.net>

Subject: FW: Agenda 1- PLNP2019-00353 – CASA ROSA WAY TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP

Importance: High

I am forwarding my letter I sent to the Board Clerk on Monday morning, I did not receive confirmation that it was received and I want to be sure it is considered. Thank you.

Adele Espana-Purpur
916-600-8507

From: Adele Espana-Purpur

Sent: Monday, May 18, 2020 10:41 AM

To: BoardClerk@saccounty.net

Subject: Agenda 1- PLNP2019-00353 – CASA ROSA WAY TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP

Good morning,

I am attaching a letter with regards to Agenda Item 1. Please let me know if you are unable to open it. Thank you.

Best regards,
Adele Espana-Purpur
3605 Casa Rosa Way, Carmichael, CA 95608

ITEMS 2 & 3 CPAC 262

From: [Townsend, Stephanie](#)
To: [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
Cc: [Evans, Florence](#); [Munoz, Alma](#)
Subject: FW: CPAC Meeting Today 5/20/20
Date: Thursday, May 21, 2020 8:13:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

For the Record

Stephanie Townsend

Deputy Clerk

Board of Supervisors | Clerk of the Board

700 H Street, Suite 2450, Sacramento, CA 95814

916-874-8022



From: Nancy Allardyce <nancy@arhumanresources.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 8:55 PM
To: CPAC-Carmichael-OFF <CPAC-Carmichael-OFF@saccounty.net>
Subject: CPAC Meeting Today 5/20/20

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

I want to follow up on my emails to the CPAC concerning item 2 and 3 on the agenda for discussion this evening.

I want to applaud the members of CPAC for their handling on items 2 and 3, specifically the matter of a new 7-Eleven at the corner of Fair Oaks Blvd. and Sutter street. It is re-assuring to property owners to see that the comments that they provided regarding their wishes for the project were not only read, but that they were factored into the well-reasoned decision in this issue.

I particularly applaud the comments made by Jason McCoy, Rich Desmond and Nick Bloise who stepped up to make the comments, that this area of Carmichael already has a crime problem. The comments that sale of alcohol in the area will result in more problems. Comment was also raised of the concern of the end impact of a convenience store selling alcohol at this location with the elementary school within a very close proximity of the propped development.

With the community not able to attend this meeting due to current shelter in place requirements, it is VERY MUCH appreciated that CPAC listened to the comments and then made the decision in the best interest of the community. Thank you for your veto of this new business, as well as the permit to sell wine and beer.

WELL DONE!!!!

Nancy Allardyce, SPHR-CA
Allardyce Resources LLC

(916) 487-4344 Office Line
(916) 765-6948 Cell Phone
(916) 644-8989 Confidential Fax
www.ARHumanResources.com

Putting the Human back in Human Resources TM

Notice: This email and any attachments are intended only for the individual or company to which it is addressed and may contain information which is privileged, confidential, and prohibited from disclosure or unauthorized use under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, or copying of this email or the information contained in this email is strictly prohibited by the sender. If you have received this transmission in error, please return the material received to the sender and delete all copies from your system. Thank you.

From: [dean](#)
To: [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
Subject: Proposed 7-11
Date: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 8:19:58 PM

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

Please reject the proposal for the new 7-11. We already have a 7-11 in Carmichael. We need more restaurants like a black bear or a new denny's for example.

Thanks
Dean Callander
5401 Pixie Ct.
Carmichael 95608

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

From: [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
To: lorettakmoreno@yahoo.com; [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#); [Shen. Jessie](#)
Subject: RE: My public comment letter was never posted for 7-11 Item-
Date: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 8:36:05 PM

Ms. Moreno,

I will add your comments to the record and share them with the Board members. The Clerk's Office provided the public comments to all Board members.

Thank you,

Flo Evans
Clerk of the Board Office
P 916-874-8150 | C 916-599-0112

From: lorettakmoreno@yahoo.com <lorettakmoreno@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 8:32 PM
To: Clerk of the Board Public Email <BoardClerk@saccounty.net>; lorettakmoreno@yahoo.com; Clerk of the Board Public Email <BoardClerk@saccounty.net>; Shen. Jessie <ShenJ@saccounty.net>
Subject: RE: My public comment letter was never posted for 7-11 Item-

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, do not click links/attachments.

I appreciate you showing this. Not wanting to say anything until I was sure I went into this page (May 18th) five times, yes give times just before writing, and name searched myself, testing over and over again to be sure. It somehow didn't come up. So very strange.

I still think if the CPAC hasn't reviewed the comments as they admitted, and the public has no brief chance to comment this should not be acted upon. The full record is not being considered. It's not all about crime concerns here. Public health and safety are at risk by the new gas pumps next to residential units.

Thank you,

Loretta

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

On Wed, May 20, 2020 at 20:14, Clerk of the Board Public Email <BoardClerk@saccounty.net> wrote:

Ms. Moreno, please recheck. The comment is listed in 5-18-20, Set 3.

Flo Evans
Clerk of the Board Office

From: lorettakmoreno@yahoo.com <lorettakmoreno@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2020 8:01 PM
To: Clerk of the Board Public Email <BoardClerk@saccounty.net>; Shen. Jessie <ShenJ@saccounty.net>
Subject: My public comment letter was never posted for 7-11 Item-

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

Dear Clerk of Board,

I submitted this letter on time on Monday and see that it was not uploaded to your website- I searched through all submissions on the website here: <https://sccob.saccounty.net/Pages/ViewPublicComments.aspx>. This community has significant and diverse concerns expressed about the 7-11 station and these points were not communicated in any level of detail to the CPAC this evening. The applicant had all the air time and our letters were barely referenced.

Please explain where my letter went and why it was not incorporated. I am in touch with Susan Peters office about this and have also notified them of this.

Thank you,

Loretta Moreno

On Monday, May 18, 2020, 11:07:39 AM PDT, lorettakmoreno@yahoo.com <lorettakmoreno@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear Ms. Shen and County Representatives,

Please refer to the attached letter of OPPOSITION to a new gas station located in close

proximity to residential uses. Given the latest science on the proximity of gas stations to residential receptors and carcinogenic risk factors, the County should not allow this or other gas stations so close to homes. The California Air Resources Board is also working on this matter and has a report under preparation to be released later this year that should provide updated guidance on outdated information the County may be relying on.

Please confirm receipt, Ms. Shen.

Thank you very much,

Loretta Moreno

Carmichael Colony Neighborhood

541-786-0330

ITEMS 2 & 3 CPAC 265

From: [Barbara Estrada](#)
To: [CPAC-Carmichael-OFF](#)
Subject: Commenting on PLNP2019-00261 and PLNP2019-00262 at 7300 Fair Oaks Boulevard
Date: Sunday, May 24, 2020 7:24:57 AM

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

I oppose the proposal to allow a 7-11 gas station/liquor store at the corner of Fair Oaks Blvd and Sutter. There are several gas stations, convenient stores, and stores selling liquor close by (Safeway Gas and AM/PM Arco at Cypress, AM/PM Arco at Marconi).

The current AM/PM Arco locations tend to be gathering sites for the homeless and those involved with drugs. With a nearby elementary school a business that increases car traffic at the intersection of Sutter and Fair Oaks seems a poorly planned proposition. There is already a significant number of homeless in the area and there have been conflicts with some intoxicated homeless people. Some parents have expressed concern for the safety of their children.

Home owners in the adjacent neighborhoods would welcome a business that would increase our property values but this gas station/liquor store will most certainly decrease values. As the neighborhood has been making improvements close to this location we have been hopeful of improving the value of this community. This is not the image a 7-11 presents.

Respectfully,
Barbara and Michael Estrada
Dotty Street residents

From: [Sue Karacozoff](#)
To: [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
Subject: No on 7 eleven station Fair Oaks and Sutter
Date: Saturday, May 23, 2020 8:19:16 AM

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

I live in the surrounding area and we do not need anymore gas stations!
Please vote NO on this measure, our community is not in need and will suffer due to this
Seven Eleven, Gas Station!
Sincerely, Susan Karacozoff

--

Susan B. Karacozoff
Contract Administrator
Capitol Builders Hardware, Inc
4699 24th. Street
Sacramento, CA 95822
916 451.2821

From: [RAMONA NELSON](#)
To: [CPAC-Carmichael-OFF: Susan Peters](#)
Subject: PLNP2019-00261/2
Date: Saturday, May 23, 2020 6:58:32 AM

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

I am opposed to the 7-Eleven being proposed at the corner of Sutter Ave and Fair Oaks Boulevard in Carmichael.

An additional gas station/convenience store is the LAST thing Carmichael needs. Homeless people already gather outside gas stations and convenience stores panhandling, sleeping, and under the influence. Another store would only encourage more of the same. I avoid using any of the these stores already, I don't know how they stay in business. It is so bad we now have people sleeping on neighborhood sidewalks for weeks at a time.

Additionally, I drive my grandchildren to a different neighborhood park rather than go to Carmichael Park which is overrun with homeless and derelicts.

PLNP2019-00261: Use Permit for 24 hour service station (gas station)

1. There currently are gas stations located at 4101 Manzanita Ave Carmichael and 6337 Fair Oaks Blvd 0.4 miles and 1.2 miles way respectively. Both gas stations are "AM/PM" facilities open 24 hours a day and sell fuel and alcohol.

PLNP2019-00262: Necessity and Convenience.

1. There currently are gas stations located at Cypress Ave and Fair Oaks Blvd and Marconi Ave and Fair Oaks Blvd. Both gas stations are "AM/PM" facilities open 24 hours a day and sell fuel and alcohol.
2. There is a liquor/convenience store located across the street from the proposed location.
3. There is a "Smart and Final" grocery store located across the street the sell Liquor/Wine/Beer

I know the pandemic limits action right now, but the homeless problem was out of control long before the virus hit. Are there any plans to do something about it?

Ramona Nelson
Carmichael property owner and resident

From: [Townsend, Stephanie](#)
To: [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
Cc: [Evans, Florence](#); [Munoz, Alma](#)
Subject: FW: PLNP2019-00261 and 00262
Date: Friday, May 22, 2020 8:25:39 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

For the Record

Stephanie Townsend

Deputy Clerk
Board of Supervisors | Clerk of the Board
700 H Street, Suite 2450, Sacramento, CA 95814
916-874-8022



From: Ryan Nelson <ryannelson0111@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2020 8:19 AM
To: CPAC-Carmichael-OFF <CPAC-Carmichael-OFF@sacounty.net>; Susan Peters <SusanPeters@sacounty.net>
Subject: PLNP2019-00261 and 00262

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

I'm writing to express my opposition to the 7-Eleven being proposed at the corner of Sutter Ave and Fair Oaks Boulevard in Carmichael.

PLNP2019-00261: Use Permit for 24 hour service station (gas station)

There is no need for an additional gas station at the proposed location.

1. There currently are gas stations located at 4101 Manzanita Ave Carmichael and 6337 Fair Oaks Blvd 0.4 miles and 1.2 miles away respectively. Both gas stations are "AM/PM" facilities open 24 hours a day and sell fuel and alcohol.

PLNP2019-00262: Necessity and Convenience.

There is no necessity nor convenience for allowing a 24 hours a day gas station and convenience store at the proposed location.

1. There currently are gas stations located at Cypress Ave and Fair Oaks Blvd and Marconi Ave and Fair Oaks Blvd. Both gas stations are "AM/PM" facilities open 24 hours a day and sell fuel and alcohol.
 2. There is a liquor/convenience store located across the street from the proposed location.
 3. There is a "Smart and Final" grocery store located across the street the sell Liquor/Wine/Beer
- Carmichael is suffering from a severe homeless pandemic. These people gather at the current gas stations listed above and sleep in the streets high on drugs or drunk. I have numerous been assaulted and harassed at both locations. It is not safe to take kids to Carmichael park. Last year we had to do sweeps of the softball fields to find needles discarded by the homeless(I'm told this has been the case for many years). Approving a new 24 hour facility selling alcohol and tobacco will only exacerbate the problem and bring the homeless and drug dealers closer to

Carmichael Elementary and Carmichael park.

I oppose the approval of the use permit and alcohol license for a 7 Eleven located at Sutter Ave and Fair Oaks Blvd.

Sincerely,

Ryan Nelson

ITEM 4 CPAC 62

From: [Gutierrez, Kimber](#)
To: [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
Subject: FW: Re PLNP2019-00157 Carmichael Commons
Date: Thursday, May 21, 2020 1:12:32 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

For the public record and I will add her to the mailing list.

Kind regards,

Kimber Gutierrez, Associate Planner
Office of Planning and Environmental Review
(916) 874-7529



The Office of Planning & Environmental Review (PER) continues to provide essential services although our physical offices are closed until further notice during the COVID-19 state of emergency. Many staff are working remotely and we are modifying our business practices during this period. Please see our website at www.planning.saccounty.net for the most current information on how to obtain services. Please note our practices are pursuant to Federal, State, and County emergency declarations including County Resolution 2020-0159 and 2020-0160.

From: Kathy Webb <webbka72@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2020 12:19 PM
To: Gutierrez, Kimber <GutierrezK@saccounty.net>
Cc: Mejia, Manuel <MejiaM@saccounty.net>; Bloise, Nick <nickb812@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re PLNP2019-00157 Carmichael Commons

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, do not click links/attachments.

Hello Kimber! Is it possible to request being added to the public mailing list for this project, please? I'm not within 500 ft of this project, but as a Carmichael resident, I am keenly interested in this proposal to rezone 15 acres of Carmichael's beloved RD2 property.

I am VERY concerned about re-zoning 15 acres of RD2 land to RD25. While I know infill is the "in thing," Carmichael has more higher density multi-family dwellings than most of the other areas of Sac County. Our RD2 is almost gone, and we need to protect it and provide it as an option so people don't add to sprawl by going out further to get some space. I went to a friend's house last week....down Watt/Gerber....and they have a house on an acre! We need large spacious lots like that!

In addition, there are several parcels that were planned for this type of house, such as the large lot near Bel Air which has been vacant forever....why not use THAT property?

And that brings me to my last concern of the moment...IF this parcel is rezoned to RD25...what happens if the project isn't completed, as we've seen in several places in Carmichael? Can a new landowner simply build whatever is allowed "by right" on 15 acres of RD25 land? Apartments? Townhomes? Literally hundreds of single family dwellings? We need a solid answer on this, please.

Ok...one more thing....the loss of trees is an utter disgrace. I'm literally speechless, and if you knew me, you'd know that is nearly impossible to do! Money doesn't fix the loss of trees. Shame on anyone for entertaining the idea of such loss in Carmichael.

We created the Carmichael Community Action Plan, and its associated Land Use Plan, to protect the special features of Carmichael...including zoning and trees. WHY would we give up 15 acres of RD2 land? Once it's gone, we'll never get it back.

Please let me know if it's possible to add me to the contact list?! Thanks!

Kathy Webb, webbka72@gmail.com

2625 Garfield Ave

Carmichael, CA 95608

From: [Holsworth, Meredith](#)
To: [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
Subject: FW: Objections to 7-11
Date: Monday, July 6, 2020 7:35:37 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image006.png](#)
[image008.png](#)
[image010.png](#)

Meredith Holsworth, Associate Planner

Office of Planning and Environmental Review
 827 7th Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 | (916) 874-5835
www.per.saccounty.net



The Office of Planning & Environmental Review (PER) continues to provide essential services although our physical offices are closed until further notice during the COVID-19 state of emergency. Many staff are working remotely and we are modifying our business practices during this period. Please see our website at www.planning.saccounty.net for the most current information on how to obtain services. Please note our practices are pursuant to Federal, State, and County emergency declarations including County Resolution 2020-0159 and 2020-0160.

From: Monica Thomasini <monica@languageworld.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 2, 2020 5:26 PM
To: Holsworth, Meredith <HolsworthM@saccounty.net>
Cc: Bill Glasser <bill@languageworld.com>
Subject: Objections to 7-11

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

Hello,

I am writing this e-mail to again bring my objection to 7-11 at Manzanita in Carmichael.

For the past 5 years, I've seen the Carmichael community to be invaded by drug users, homeless, feces and crime. I've been working in Carmichael for 10 years, but the last 5 years have been really hard to endure how much the community has suffered with it, with no resolution in sight. Several times I walked through needles, beer cans, bottles of vodka and human feces to go to work. And on top of it, now 7-11 has decided to open a location that is 24 hours, selling alcohol. Carmichael has plenty of alcohol sales just two blocks away from the proposed 7-11. It has Smart & Final Grocery Store, KB Liquor Store, Blue Sky Chinese Restaurant, Jim Boys Taco (they will sell beer without buying food), Orient Restaurant, Bel Air Grocery Store, AM PM Gas Station (Corner of Manzanita and Cypress), Strings Restaurant, Safeway Grocery Store, Rite Aid Drug Store, Shogun Sushi and the last thing that we need in our community is another place that sells more alcohol to attract more homeless, drug-addicts and crime.

My workplace has been broken-in 3 times before, and every day that I am opening my business, if the homeless people are not still there, at the door, they leave rotten food, beer and alcohol on the middle of the parking lot, or even worst, feces and needles.

I am trying to do my very best, every day, while my workplace is being invaded, my team doesn't feel secure because here and there, during daylight, they always need to be aware if a fight happens, or a verbal abuse that can go to the wrong direction, and quickly lock their doors and be on stand-by to call 911. That's an insane way of trying to WORK in this community.

I will tell you more – can't count the times that I was at work and went for a walk, just to come right back because I was being harassed by a drunk/drug-addict, or when drunk/drug-addicts are lowering their pants to pee, in daylight, in front of my window. At work. So the fact that 7-11 has already agreed to not sell single cans of beer and no small bottles of wine and that liquor sales would stop a 10 pm doesn't make any difference, because those things are being done during the day.

Carmichael doesn't need more of this.

Regards,

Monica Thomasini, CoreCHI™

Director of Quality Assurance
Certified Portuguese Interpreter



Together we speak in one voice.



7220 Fair Oaks Blvd., Suite D – Carmichael, CA 95608 | **p:** 916.473.0100 x 122 | **f:** 916.487.7088 |
c: 916.230.3300

The information contained in this e-mail transmission is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this transmission (including any attachments) is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail.

Thank you.

From: [Holsworth, Meredith](#)
To: [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
Subject: FW: 7/11 Gas & Liquor - NO!
Date: Monday, July 6, 2020 11:53:57 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image006.png](#)
[image008.png](#)
[image010.png](#)

Another email regarding PLNP2019-00261 & 262

Meredith Holsworth, Associate Planner

Office of Planning and Environmental Review
 827 7th Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 | (916) 874-5835
www.per.saccounty.net



The Office of Planning & Environmental Review (PER) continues to provide essential services although our physical offices are closed until further notice during the COVID-19 state of emergency. Many staff are working remotely and we are modifying our business practices during this period. Please see our website at www.planning.saccounty.net for the most current information on how to obtain services. Please note our practices are pursuant to Federal, State, and County emergency declarations including County Resolution 2020-0159 and 2020-0160.

From: Lisa Spagnoli <lisa@languageworld.com>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2020 11:40 AM
To: Holsworth, Meredith <HolsworthM@saccounty.net>
Subject: 7/11 Gas & Liquor - NO!

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

To Whom It May Concern,

I would again like to voice my *vehement opposition* to the proposed 7/11 location at the corner of Fair Oaks Boulevard and Sutter Ave in Carmichael. With 10+ locations that sell alcohol within two blocks of this location, it's unfathomable that the county would consider adding a 7/11 in an area that already suffers from chronic homelessness and vagrancy. As a business tenant for 11 years, I can assure you, that the area has declined significantly in recent years—a *liquor store would only aggravate the problem*. Our organization has had to hire private security as our employees do not feel safe. We also routinely hire cleaning services to clear debris and disinfect the health hazards created by routine camping, drug use and defecation at both of our facilities—one of which is adjacent the proposed site. These issues, not to mention the nearby school and precarious traffic conditions on Sutter Ave, make this a terrible and ludicrous choice for a liquor store location. Should this proposal be approved, it is very likely that we will relocate our businesses, adding to an already high vacancy rate in Carmichael.

Regards,

Lisa Spagnoli

Vice President of Operations



Together we speak in one voice.



7220 Fair Oaks Blvd., Suite D – Carmichael, CA 95608 | **p:** 916.333.5247 x109 | **f:** 916.487.7088 |
c: 916.798.1062

The information contained in this e-mail transmission is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this transmission (including any attachments) is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail. Thank you.

From: [Gutierrez, Kimber](#)
To: [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
Subject: FW: Re PLNP2019-00157 Carmichael Commons
Date: Thursday, May 21, 2020 1:12:32 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

For the public record and I will add her to the mailing list.

Kind regards,

Kimber Gutierrez, Associate Planner
Office of Planning and Environmental Review
(916) 874-7529



The Office of Planning & Environmental Review (PER) continues to provide essential services although our physical offices are closed until further notice during the COVID-19 state of emergency. Many staff are working remotely and we are modifying our business practices during this period. Please see our website at www.planning.saccounty.net for the most current information on how to obtain services. Please note our practices are pursuant to Federal, State, and County emergency declarations including County Resolution 2020-0159 and 2020-0160.

From: Kathy Webb
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2020 12:19 PM
To: Gutierrez, Kimber
Cc: Mejia, Manuel ; Bloise, Nick
Subject: Re PLNP2019-00157 Carmichael Commons

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, do not click links/attachments.

Hello Kimber! Is it possible to request being added to the public mailing list for this project, please? I'm not within 500 ft of this project, but as a Carmichael resident, I am keenly interested in this proposal to rezone 15 acres of Carmichael's beloved RD2 property.

I am VERY concerned about re-zoning 15 acres of RD2 land to RD25. While I know infill is the "in thing," Carmichael has more higher density multi-family dwellings than most of the other areas of Sac County. Our RD2 is almost gone, and we need to protect it and provide it as an option so people don't add to sprawl by going out further to get some space. I went to a friend's house last week....down Watt/Gerber....and they have a house on an acre! We need large spacious lots like that!

In addition, there are several parcels that were planned for this type of house, such as the large lot near Bel Air which has been vacant forever....why not use THAT property?

And that brings me to my last concern of the moment...IF this parcel is rezoned to RD25...what happens if the project isn't completed, as we've seen in several places in Carmichael? Can a new landowner simply build whatever is allowed "by right" on 15 acres of RD25 land? Apartments? Townhomes? Literally hundreds of single family dwellings? We need a solid answer on this, please.

Ok...one more thing....the loss of trees is an utter disgrace. I'm literally speechless, and if you knew me, you'd know that is nearly impossible to do! Money doesn't fix the loss of trees. Shame on anyone for entertaining the idea of such loss in Carmichael.

We created the Carmichael Community Action Plan, and its associated Land Use Plan, to protect the special features of Carmichael...including zoning and trees. WHY would we give up 15 acres of RD2 land? Once it's gone, we'll never get it back.

Please let me know if it's possible to add me to the contact list?! Thanks!

Kathy Webb, webbka72@gmail.com

2625 Garfield Ave
Carmichael, CA 95608

From: rkcurtis6@comcast.net
To: [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
Subject: Comment on Carmichael Commons Project, Control Number PLNP2019-00157
Date: Wednesday, July 8, 2020 1:20:06 PM

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

[Comment on Carmichael Commons Project, Control Number PLNP2019-00157](#)

This is in reference to the May 20, 2020 informational Teleconference of the Carmichael-Old Foothill Farms Planning Advisory Council in regard to the Carmichael Commons project.

Overall I am impressed with the diligence and information presented by the applicant, planning staff and the council members as well as the planned path forward.

There was discussion on the number of trees that could be removed. There was a commitment by the applicant to take a closer look at what trees could be retained with a view towards increasing the number retained. My recommendation is giving a distinct priority to retaining and also replanting protected species, in particular native oaks like Valley Oak and Live Oak.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert Curtis
Resident, Oak Creek Estates

Personal email rkcurtis6@comcast.net
Personal mobile (916) 517-5744