

ITEM 4 CPAC PUBLIC COMMENT 1

From: [Donna Glimstad](#)
To: [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
Subject: Courtesy Meeting Notice, Control #:PLN2019-00202, Project Name: 10325 Franklin Boulevard Tentative Parcel Map, Assessor Parcel No.: 132-0030-020, Applicant/Owner: Cornerstone Church of the Nazarene, Location: 10325 Franklin Blvd. in the Delta Community
Date: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 4:06:46 PM

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

To Whom It May Concern:

We received a Courtesy Meeting Notice a couple days ago regarding the division of a large parcel into three parcels. Despite looking through the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors web site, and trying to get information from the Board Clerks web site, I can't find any information about this parcel split (reason for doing so, plans for parcels, are they rezoning, etc.). As it stands now, with the skimpy information we've been given, my husband and I would be against this split. It seems to us that it can't be a good thing if you cannot give neighbors anymore information than that which is provided in the meeting notice or online (which is none).

More information would be appreciated.

Thanks you,

Dennis and Donna Glimstad
10381 Dennis Way
Elk Grove, CA 95757

ITEM 4 CPAC PUBLIC COMMENT 2

From: [Carlos rodriguez](#)
To: [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
Subject: Closed meeting 6/10/20 for control # PLNP2019-00202
Date: Wednesday, June 10, 2020 6:58:14 PM

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

I received notification of this meeting and mistakenly believed this would be a "zoom" based meeting. I mistakenly thought I could log on and voice my concerns, but in reading the notification more closely, I now see that it is a closed meeting. Please excuse the late email. I have spoken to four (4) other neighbors in our small neighborhood here on Franklin Blvd, Kenneth, and Dennis Street. All my neighbors that I have spoken with once I received the notice a few days ago (notification was postmarked 6/1/20), have voiced strong opposition to a church being built or established in our small, agricultural and rural neighborhood. There is no opposition to religious worship, but a church building/congregation/activities would be detrimental to maintaining the historical value and integrity of this neighborhood. We have numerous and significant concerns about the property in question. It is my understanding that it was being used as a commercial staging point for a construction company. There were too many early mornings to count, that I was startled by the loud banging of heavy equipment being loaded onto truck and trailers during very early morning hours including 4:00 am. I mention this concern because it is also my understanding that the property where the commercial business was being operated is owned by the same organization.

If parameters are put in place and restrictions are imposed, it is my belief that they will be disregarded and dismissed by this group. I came to this belief based on my own observations of this group. The issue previously mentioned about using a non-commercial property for commercial activity is the first action. The second incident occurred over the spring break. At least 15 young adults that appeared to be college age, were congregating on the property. They were engaged in physical activities like soccer, some sort of tag, and walking. This was in direct violation of the State-wide shelter in place order right at the beginning of the covid19 lock down. The group also disregarded the essential travel restrictions by placing easter eggs in some of the yards of the residents in this neighborhood. The disregard for government restrictions should be a clear demonstration that even if restrictions are put in place, they will be ignored.

The agricultural value of this area is already being infringed upon and adding a church would not only damage the historical value, but also the value of the surrounding properties as agricultural properties. The land on the west side of Franklin blvd is federally protected and utilities being constructed to accommodate a church could have a hugely detrimental impact. My family moved to this little neighborhood with the excitement of being in a rural area still close to suburban conveniences. We never thought a church would be built just a couple hundred yards up the road.

Please accept this opinion as representative of at least 5 different residences within this historical neighborhood as well as the potential opinion of at least 4 more additional residents. Those numbers reflect one per household/address.

Although an in-person meeting does not seem possible at this point in time, an online meeting where opinions could be shared verbally would be greatly beneficial and appreciated. A notification that was received only a few days prior to such a significant meeting did not allow for a proper written opinion to be generated (add in the initial mistaken interpretation). Again, no one was opposed to religious worship or even worship activities. The opposition is strictly to having a non-agricultural/rural/residential project being approved in this historic neighborhood.

Thank you for your time and attention in this matter,
Concerned residents of the Buscher tract #1